Evidence Summit on Reducing Maternal and Neonatal Mortality in Indonesia: Progress Report as of January 2017

2016 - 2017
Evidence Summit Overview

OBJECTIVES

1. Assemble all existing evidence relevant to maternal and neonatal mortality in Indonesia
2. Organize and summarize evidence in a framework that allows clear understanding of current evidence and prioritization for action
3. Build consensus among major stakeholders on the evidence base, interpretation of existing evidence, and priorities for action

TOPIC AREA

0. Evidence for problem description to frame the reviews with a focus on Indonesian specific implementation issues
1. Improved quality of care in public and private sectors
2. Improved referral system at community and facility levels
3. Implementation of UHC including increased utilization of MNH services and improved financial protection of the poorest and most vulnerable
4. Improved local governance systems influencing health
5. Improved utilization of evidence for decision-making in the public and private sectors
6. Implementing the equity of woman to support 4 pillars of safe motherhood

Study Report of the Joint Committee on Reducing Maternal and Neonatal Mortality in Indonesia (2013): lack of certainty (even within a fairly wide margin of error) of exactly what has happened to maternal mortality in Indonesia over the last few decades

Medical Science Commission
Convener: Akmal Taher
Co-Convener: Irawan Yusuf

Evidence-based Policy Approach
Bibliography of Evidence

- focus on implementation and health systems issues and determinants of maternal and neonatal mortality reduction in Indonesia
- accessible on-line to the broad array of interested parties

Recommendations for specific topic area

- where evidence is sufficient to support decisions to sustain and scale intervention approaches, or revise policies and/or program guidelines,
- where evidence is insufficient and required to support priority approaches and innovations; and
- where there are major gaps where the creation of new evidence and knowledge can provide essential information to inform policies and programs

Evidence Summit Outputs

1. Bibliography of Evidence
2. Recommendations for specific topic area
3. Final Report of ES Project
4. Manuscripts
(1) Methodology & Timeline of Evidence Summit
Indonesia Evidence Summit Methodology
Timeline of Evidence Review Process

June 2016 – March 2017

(1) Protocol Development
Approved protocols: October 2016

(2) Searching the Evidence
Bibliographic database/call for evidence
- November 16, 2016
- December 14, 2016

(3) Selection/Sorting
Title/abstract screening; Full Text Screening
- November 16, 2016
- December 14, 2016

(4) Evidence review/assessment
Quality assessment: January 11, 2017

(5) Data extraction/analysis
Data analysis: January - February 2017

(6) Body of Evidence
Draft report / draft of bibliography
February - March 2017
Timeline of Evidence Review Process

March – September 2017

1. Protocol Development for Qualitative Method
   - Approved protocols: March 2017

2. Searching the Evidence: Qualitative Method
   - April 2017

3. Evidence review & Analysis
   - Quality Assessment: May 2017

4. Translating Evidence into Policy: Finalize Bibliography & Recommendation
   - June - July 2017

5. Evidence Summit
   - August 2017

6. National Stakeholders Consultation & Manuscript
   - September 2017
(2) Progress Report of Evidence Summit Project

Remarks:
- Accomplished: Accomplished as targeted timeline
- In Process: In process of finalization (beyond targeted timeline)
- Not Yet Accomplished: Not yet accomplished (still in the previous stage)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD STAGES</th>
<th>EXPECTED TARGET</th>
<th>TARGET OF COMPLETION</th>
<th>TOPIC AREA</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) DEFINE</td>
<td>Protocols</td>
<td>October 2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target Output</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) EVIDENCE GATHERING</td>
<td>Search Result/Collected Evidence</td>
<td>November - December 2016</td>
<td>3165</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) EVIDENCE SELECTION</td>
<td>Screened Evidences</td>
<td>End of December 2016</td>
<td>3165</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sorted Evidence</td>
<td>End of December 2016</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eligible Evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td>178</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Topic Area 0: In the process of developing FQ & protocols as background for other Topic Area
**Topic Area 4: In the process of developing FQ & protocols, evidence gathering will be started on Feb 2017
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD STAGES</th>
<th>EXPECTED TARGET</th>
<th>TOPIC AREA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TARGET OUTPUT</strong></td>
<td><strong>TARGET OF COMPLETION</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) EVIDENCE REVIEW</td>
<td>Quality Assessment</td>
<td>Mid January 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Extraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Analysis</td>
<td>Mid February 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) BODY OF EVIDENCE</td>
<td>Result : Evidence Framework</td>
<td>End of February 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summary of Findings</td>
<td>Early March 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading of Body of Evidence &amp; Recommendation</td>
<td>Mid March 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary Findings

as of January 2017

• The process for Topic Area 0, 4, 5 & 6 not yet detailed (it will be processed further by ERT from Litbangkes)
• Need to expand “call for evidence” to universities and NGOs and follow up repeatedly; and to search for non-web literatures through Library of UI, UNHAS, UGM, UNDIP, UNPAD
• Need to have pool of appropriate search term/synonym for each focal questions
• The ERT are recommended to sharpen the filtering/selection of literatures which is based on the PICO
(3) Grand Design of Communication & Stakeholders Engagement
GRAND DESIGN OF COMMUNICATION & STAKEHOLDERS ENGAGEMENT
(existing condition)

COMMUNICATION & STAKEHOLDERS ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY

(USAID* & AIPI PROCEDURES)

5 W

WHAT is the Content

WHY should communicate

WHO is the sender & receiver

WHEN it will happen

WHERE it will happen

2 H

HOW to communicate

HOW MUCH the cost

Objective:
- support the outreach efforts of EC: data management &archieving;
- keep participants in the Evidence Review well updated the progress;
- create awareness and open access to stakeholders in order to contribute evidence/other efforts; and
- Disseminate and scaling up process and outputs (create demand) to the various constituencies for the eventual produces of the Evidence Summit process.

(Ref: Communication Program, Concept Notes of ES Project)

Objective:
- to introduce and scale-up evidence based research products by showcasing success stories, research findings, and dissemination research findings produced by the “activity” through a creative mix of:
  (a) print materials (brochures, reports, etc);
  (b) media (press releases); and (c) web-based success stories and information.

(Ref: Program Communications and Publicity, Sub Agreement Attachment D)

*Branding Strategy & Marking Plan (URC-AIPI Sub Agreement Attachment D)
COMMUNICATION STRATEGY
(existing condition)

Stakeholders Group

Input/recommendation

Steering Committee

Executive Committee

(Core Convener + Co-Convener)

Secretariat*

Core Technical Group

Topic Area 0 - 6

7 group of Evidence Review Team (40)

Associate Evidence Reviewer (6)

Command channeling

Coordination channeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT (Content)</th>
<th>HOW (media com)</th>
<th>WHEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategic &amp; policy decision</td>
<td>• WA Group</td>
<td>*Once in 2 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Email</td>
<td>• Meeting*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operational level decision</td>
<td>• WA Group</td>
<td>Daily basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monitoring daily activity</td>
<td>• Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Phone Call</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic decision for review process</td>
<td>• WA Group</td>
<td>*Twice in a month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Email</td>
<td>• Meeting*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Virtual Meeting (telemedia)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational/technical activity regarding review process</td>
<td>• WA Group</td>
<td>Twice in a month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Email</td>
<td>• Meeting*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Virtual Meeting (telemedia)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Communication Strategy in Review Process (IT-based by Covidence)

ERT (Evidence Review Team) → Final Protocol → Keywords

AER (Associate Evidence Reviewer) → Searching

Mendeley → Check for Duplications

Import to Covidence
Communication Strategy in Review Process (IT-based by Covidence)

ERT (Evidence Review Team)

Include

Full text

Evidence

CTG (Core Technical Group)

Covidence

Exclude

Irrelevant

Keep for Discussion
STAKEHOLDERS ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY
(existing condition)

**WHAT**

POTENTIAL ROLE

**WHO**

STAKEHOLDERS GROUP

**WHEN & HOW**

ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY

How to engage, when they should be engaged,
how to follow up/evaluate according to
stakeholders’ potential role

**HOW**

FOLLOW UP STRATEGY/EVALUATION

Stakeholders Analysis
(mapping the stakeholders according to their potential role)

Contributors of Literatures & Review Process

1. HE Institutions
2. Government
3. NGO
4. …

Active participants/partners on Policy Formulation

1. AIPI leaders
2. Government (central & local)
3. Group of experts
4. …

Support the outreach & to scale up project outputs
(Bibliography & Policy Recommendation)

1. Government (central & local)
2. HE Institutions
3. Media
4. …

Drafting Bibliography

1. “Call for Evidence”
2. Review process
3. …

Formulating Evidence into Policy

1. Focus Group Discussion
2. Strategic meeting
3. Public hearing

Pre-Summit, Summit, Post Project

1. Project launching
2. Pre-Summit
3. Summit
4. Public hearing

Email and Phone call to explain & to remind

1. Email, phone call, texting/WA to explain & to remind
2. Formal invitation to attend the activity
3. Virtual meeting by telemedia

1. Media relation activity
2. Informal meeting
3. …
STAKEHOLDERS ANALYSIS

(stakeholder mapping for engagement strategy)

1. **Influence**: Influence of stakeholders over the project
   - have power to influence the implementation of the program (for monitoring)
   - have power to influence the sustainability of project result (for evaluation)

2. **Interest/alignment**
   Interest/alignment of the stakeholders to the aim and result of project
Preliminary Stakeholders Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence</th>
<th>Interest/Alignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>KEEP SATISFIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MANAGE CLOSELY TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAINTAIN INTERESTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>MONITOR (ACTIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSULTATION))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- AIPI
- MOH
- Project Team (SC, EC, CTG, ERT)
- USAIDS
- Higher Education Institutions
- Local governments
- Mass Media
- Legislative
- NGO
- Health Professionals
- Health Professionals’ Students
- Keep Informed
Challenges

• Project Management:
  - Inadequate participation of Higher Education institutions
  - Insufficient coordination pathways among stakeholders
  - Lack of information about the ES activities and progress to the stakeholders and public

• Review Process:
  - Slow response
  - Phone call is not preferable
  - Unstable connection
Plan of Action
(with MCSP-JHPIEGO)

Communication

- SOP for communication through social media
- SOP for Complaint Handling (esp project management)
- Website
- Develop standard and procedure (SoP) for publishing the product of ES

Stakeholders Engagement

- Further Stakeholders Analysis: mapping of roles, contributions and demand for scaling up
- Perform personal approach on reaching the Higher Education Institutions by local troops
- Knowledge Management System
(4) Proposed Extension of Project
The key areas to address in the Evidence Summit workplan include:

1. Develop and present evidence-based recommendations to key stakeholders
2. Develop a communications strategy
3. Detail process for Evidence collection and review
4. Plan for effective engage stakeholders in Evidence Summit process
5. Translate evidence into policy (“evidence implementation”)
6. Development of publication on Evidence Summit process and findings
## JANUARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URC - Activity Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERT-CTG-EC Workshop: Evidence review/quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERT-CTG-EC Workshop: Data extraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## FEBRUARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSCP - Activity Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-award Assessment (Project preparation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week-long CTG-ERT workshop / Writing Camp (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Strategy workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTG Meeting: Activity Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week-long CTG-ERT workshop (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders Workshop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URC - Activity Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERT-CTG-EC Workshop: Data analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERT-CTG-EC Workshop: Body of Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTG Workshop: Formulating draft of policy brief for Pre-Summit (Rakerkenas)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## MARCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSCP - Activity Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting New Contract with MCSP (March 1, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week-long CTG-ERT workshop (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URC - Activity Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERT-CTG-EC Workshop: Draft of Bibliography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Summit (Rakerkenas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Report of Project &amp; External Audit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed Timeline: April – September 2017

MCSP – Activity Plan

APR
- Week-long CTG-ERT workshop (4)

MAY
- Week-long CTG-ERT workshop (5)

JUN
- Week-long CTG-ERT workshop (6)

JUL
- Finalize bibliography
- Workshop on Translating Evidence into Policy
- Preparation for Evidence Summit (1)

AUG
- Preparation for Evidence Summit (2)
- Final reports on bibliography and recommendations

SEP
- National Stakeholders Consultation
- Manuscript writing workshop
- Project Completion Report